

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/003.315	01/06/98	BENNETT		D	2001P1(23600
_		QM11/0308	乛		EXAMINER
PATENT COUNSEL				ELEY, T	
APPLIED MATERIALS INC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT P O BOX 450A SANTA CLARA CA 95052				3723	8
OMNIA CEMINA	on Joods			DATE MAILE): 03/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/003,315

Applicant(s)

D. Bennett et al

Examiner

Timothy V. Eley

Group Art Unit 3723

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 3, 1999				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1-42	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s) 14-42	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ıg Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	-			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	 -			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been			
. 🗌 received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)			
\square received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
	lo(s)4			
Interview Summary, PTO-413				
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48			
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Application/Control Number: 09/003315 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. "the surface area" (lines 2-4) lacks proper antecedent basis since it was not properly earlier referred to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- 4. Claims 1-3,8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breivogel et al.
- a. The grooves in the polishing pad may be divided into three or more regions. Also, at least one of the second width and second pitch differs from the "first width and first pitch" as recited by applicants.

Application/Control Number: 09/003315 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breivogel et al.
- a. Breivogel et al discloses grooves, but does not disclose the exact dimensions recited by applicants.
- b. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Breivogel et al device by providing the optimum range of dimensions of the grooves, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/003315

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Documents related to the instant application may be submitted to Technology Center 3720 by facsimile transmission. Applicant is reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Technology Center 3720 Facsimile Center number is (703)305-3579/3580/3588.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is (703)308-1824.

tve

March 1, 1999

TIMOTHY V. ELEY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3723 Page 4